IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SECOND APPEAL NO.270 OF 2006
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.549 OF 2006
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO.270 OF 2006

Bhaskar Maruti Takwekar .. Appellant.
Vs.
Sidhu Krishna Naikwadi .. Respondent.

Mr.Pratap Patil for the appellant.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE, JJ.
DATED : 6TH JULY, 2007.

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the appellant.

2. This second appeal is directed against concurrent findings recorded by the courts below by which a suit filed by the plaintiff has been dismissed. Mr.Patil has raised only one contention in this appeal that the adoption of the plaintiff is proved by him as provided for under sections 6, 10 and 11 of the Hindu Adoption & Maintenance Act. He submitted that the adoption is valid and the findings of court holding in respect thereof are wrong and deserve to be set aside. I perused the judgments of the court below and more particularly paragraph 27 to 35 of the judgment of appellate court wherein the

learned Judge has considered the contentions of the plaintiff as also entire evidence placed before it. In paragraph 27 reference is made to sections 6, 7, 10 and 11 of the Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act and the appellate court has rightly held that the adoption has not been proved. No substantial question of law is involved. Considering the findings of fact recorded by the courts below and as I find sufficient material on record to sustain those findings and considering extremely limited jurisdiction of this court to disturb the findings of fact this second appeal is dismissed. Consequently, the civil application is also disposed of.

(D.B.BHOSALE, J.)